

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/557,539	EBERLE ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626	

All Participants:

Status of Application: 80

(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D. (3) _____.

(2) George Gould (Reg. No. 20970). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 February 2008

Time: 4:03pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

23, 25, 27, 28, 29, 35, 36 and 37

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D./
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicant's representative for permission to: (1) add an "a" before heterocyclcyl on line 10 in claims 23 and 37; (2) correct antecedent basis problems with compounds 9 and 13 in claim 25; (3) add reference to Compound 65 on line 3 in claim 27; and (4) correct lack of antecedent basis of claims 29,35 and 36 by adding "optionally substituted" before phenyl in claim 28.